

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FIL		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/054,045	(01/22/2002	Timothy G. Tyler	LOG-03-PUSA	1482
23410	7590	04/23/2003			
NEAL M CO	OHEN		EXAMINER		
2424 SE BRIS SUITE 300	STOL ST	REET	•	EINSMANN, MARGARET V	
NEWPORT E	NEWPORT BEACH, CA 92660		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				1751	
				DATE MAILED: 04/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				. 5´
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	_	10/054,045	TYLER ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Margaret Einsmann	1751	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address	
THE - Extended - If th - If No - Fail - Any	HORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repoperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staturely received by the Office later than three months after the mailined patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a r ply within the statutory minimum of third d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicat ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ion.
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		•
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde			s is
· _	tion of Claims			
4)[Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are pending in the application			
5 _	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
_	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
· · ·	Claim(s) <u>1-50</u> is/are rejected.			
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and all all and a second		
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/ ion Papers	or election requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.		
•	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		ne Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	eply to this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer.		
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	ın priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	pplication No	
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	•		otion)
	a) \square The translation of the foreign language pr		- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	ition).
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	• •		
Attachmer	nt(s)			
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 1751

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The term "powder" is indefinite because it does not describe the component in terms of what it is. "Powder" is only the form that a substance takes. It may be a face powder, gunpowder, talcum powder, a powdered drug. By stating that a powder is applied, applicant is not stating what is applied. Tugwell, US 4,037,008 col 4 lines 44 et seq, defines a plastisol as resin particles dispersed in a plasticizer. Are not the resin particles themselves a powder since they are in the form of particles? Accordingly, we may assume that whenever a plastisol composition is applied to a transfer sheet, said plastisol incorporates a powder.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-50 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sammis, US 6,143,115 in view of Hurnik et al. US 4,623,686.

Art Unit: 1751

Sammis teaches formation of a transfer sheet with abrasive particles imbedded therein. (Title) The transfer material comprises a single, independent, homogeneous and transparent layer of thermoplastic material such as a plastisol which liquefies upon heating during the heat transfer process. Col 3 lines 62-65. Before the transfer material has fully congealed the abrasive particles are partially embedded therein. The transfer material is applied to the transfer sheet by passing it through a screen. Col 4 lines 1-10. The abrasive particles are also adhesive in nature when activated by heat. Said abrasive particles may be nylon, which is a polyamide, reading on the copolyamide as claimed. Additionally, since the abrasive particles have adhesive properties, said particles (powder) adhere to the surface. Col 4 lines 12-28. Since the abrasive particles may be considered a powder, this disclosure teaches applying powder to the plastisol ink layer and then heating as claimed. The patent differs from the instant claims in not claiming that the ink comprises aromatic polyisocyanates.

Hurnik et al. disclose the formation of plastisol compositions which comprise a composition comprising aromatic polysiocyanates. Hurnik's plastisols have excellent storage stability and improve the bonding of the plastisol to substrates such as plastics, foils and textile sheet products. See Hurnik col 1 lines 51-68, and the teaching of aromatic polyisocyanates at col 4 lines 52 et seq. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to use the plastisol composition comprising the polyisocyanate as disclosed by Hurnik et al. as the ink in patentee's process for the improved benefits as taught by Hurnik et al., that is, excellent adhesive properties and storage stability. Noting example 5 in col 19, the proportions of plastisol to polysiocyanate are more than

Art Unit: 1751

2 to 1; the curing temperature is at least about 100 degrees Farenheit in a circulating

Page 4

oven, equivalent to applicant's curing tunnel. Regarding the limitations of claim 19 and

its dependent claims and claim 42 and its dependent claims, it is well known that more

than one ink may be applied to the same transfer paper to form a multicolor image.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Margaret Einsmann whose telephone number is 703-

308-3826. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:00 AM -4:30 PM M-Th and

alternate Fridays.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Yogendra Gupta can be reached on 703-308-4708. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

872-9310 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0661.

Margaret Einsmann

Margaret Elpemann

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1751

Art Unit: 1751

April 17, 2003

Page 5